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Abstract— Neurofeedback, the self-regulation of brain signals recorded using Electroencephalogram (EEG), allows Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) users to enhance cognitive as well as motor functions using specific training strategies. Therapeutic effects of neurofeedback (by the induction of neuroplasticity) on treatment of people with neurological disorders such as Attention-Deficit Hyperactive Disorder (ADHD), dementia and stroke have been reported in literature. In this paper, we investigate the impact of a neurofeedback based BCI game on the enhancement of attention and cognitive skills of healthy subjects. The BCI game is controlled by player’s attention-related EEG signal. In the proposed training paradigm, subjects play the neurofeedback game regularly for a period of 5 days. The experimental analysis of player’s attention level (measured by entropy values of EEG) and the comparison of cognitive test results demonstrate the benefits of practicing BCI based neurofeedback game in the enhancement of attention/cognitive skills.

I. INTRODUCTION

Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) is an emerging technology for decoding human intention from brain activity which creates an alternate communication channel for people with severe motor impairment [1]. Explicitly, a BCI bypasses the “brain’s normal output pathways of peripheral nerves and muscles” to facilitate interaction with the environment [2]. In this new output pathway of BCI, the user must have a feedback of his electrophysiological signals such as Electroencephalogram (EEG), for controlling BCI in the desired manner [3]. This neurofeedback based self-regulation of EEG signals activates the associated brain regions and eventually helps the user to improve the BCI performance and enhance specific skills [4, 5]. Improvements in cognitive aptitudes of brain have been reported in [6-8] for healthy subjects on account of neurofeedback training. The study in [6] reports the attention-related brain signals, bars representing values of a specific EEG band power, smiley faces for showing successful signal control etc. But, if the feedback signals are presented in the framework of computerized game, user feels more motivated and rewarded and does not become bored or frustrated easily [9, 10]. Game based environments can help to maintain user’s motivation and attention to the given task and guide him to achieve the specific goal, by making required changes in EEG activity more effectively. Using EEG-based BCI, the usage of keyboard, mouse or joystick in traditional videogames can be replaced by EEG signals. A number of BCI based neurofeedback games are available in literature [9, 11-13]. Many of these games employ attention related EEG feature as the control parameter, as attention is a key determinant for human cognition. It is also reported that modulation of attentional networks in the brain can alter behavioural and emotional outcomes of a person [14].

Based on attention-related brain signals, we have proposed a BCI game in [15] in which the player has to refill a set of elements in a 3 x 3 matrix using EEG. The sample entropy features of EEG have been used in our attention-driven game to quantify player’s attention level. If the subject concentrates well, attention score estimated from EEG is higher than a threshold. Preliminary experiments showed that all the players were able to control/play the EEG game successfully. Additionally, the achieved game points improved by practice also. Motivated by these results, in our current work, we investigate whether the subjects are able to enhance the attention threshold values and cognitive skills based on the neurofeedback training. The feasibility of BCI based game has been tested by a few studies reported in [11-13], but the enhancement of attention levels/cognitive skills by practicing neurofeedback games has rarely been reported in literature so far.

II. PROPOSED NEUROFEEDBACK TRAINING

We provide neurofeedback training to 8 healthy subjects for a period of 5 days using our attention-driven game explained in [15]. For improving the clarity of presentation, a brief description of the game is also provided here.

A. Neurofeedback Game

Basic framework for the BCI-based game is shown in Fig. 1. The data acquisition module in the system is responsible for recording EEG signal from scalp using Emotiv Epoc...
Neuroheadset [16] using electrodes AF3, F7, F3, P7, O1, O2, P8, F4, F8 and AF4 according to the 10-20 international system of EEG electrode placement. The acquired signal is then preprocessed to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. In order to compute the attention score, the sample entropy values of each EEG channel signals are computed [17]. The average value of entropy measures from the mentioned ten EEG channels represents the attention score of the subject and is passed to control the GUI of the game. The higher the attention level of a subject, the higher the entropy measure [15]. The GUI developed using C# maintains stable communication with the Emotiv headset. Game GUI displays the attention score in the form of a progress bar and act as a feedback to the player for self-regulating his EEG signal to maintain his attention level above threshold and win more points in the game.

The quantity of enhancement in threshold is determined based on the performance on the 4th day. However, the amount of threshold enhancement is decided only after getting the player’s consent.

Apart from these neurofeedback experiments, cognitive tests have also been performed on the first and last day of this experiment for assessing the attention/cognitive enhancement of the subjects achieved through this neurofeedback training. The conducted cognitive test is available online, designed for checking subject’s attention, working memory and cognitive skills [18]. It also requires answer selection using keyboard inputs as similar to our proposed game. During the test, the player will be presented with a word and a picture on the computer screen. If the word matches with the picture, the player has to respond ‘YES’ by pressing the right arrow key in the keyboard and if they are different, left arrow key has to be pressed to convey ‘NO’ to the computer. For example, if the word ‘CAT’ and the image of a dog appear on the screen, player has to press left arrow key for correct hit. But, if the word ‘REVERSE’ appears along with word and image pair, player has to reverse the strategy of responding answers. In this case, if the word and object are the same, answer ‘NO’ with left arrow key. If not, answer ‘YES’ with right arrow key. For completing the test, player has to respond to 20 trials. During this test, the subjects are encouraged to place their index fingers of both hands on the left and right arrow keys in preparation for a response. The objective of the test is to get as many right answers as fast as possible. At the end of this test, user will be provided with a graph showing the obtained cognitive score, average time taken for answer selection, its standard deviation and percentage of correctly performed trials. This test has been developed in the Institute for Neural Computation at the University of California at San Diego, and is intended to support standardized cognitive health assessments for all ages and to improve quality of life [18].

For a better comparison, a control group has also been created and tested with the same design of cognitive test for identical days and times as of neurofeedback group. The control group consists of 8 subjects and all of them performed the cognitive test on Day-1 and Day-5. Control participants did not have to show up on days two to four, but were asked not to expose themselves to exceptional stress. A total of 16 healthy people, 8 in the neurofeedback group (3 females and 5 males, 29.6±2.4 years) and 8 in the control group (2 females and 6 males, 27.1±3.2 years), took part in this experiment. Eight subjects from the neurofeedback group are named as S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7 and S8 whereas the control group subjects are named as C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7 and C8 in the sequel.

B. Proposed Neurofeedback Training Experiments
Motivated by the preliminary results, we investigate whether the regular practice of this attention-driven game will enhance the attention/cognitive levels of the players. This experiment is designed and conducted for investigating whether the subjects are capable of playing the game with an increased attention threshold by practicing the game regularly over a few days. Another intention was to evaluate the effect of game based neurofeedback training on the cognitive skills of the subjects. In order to achieve these goals, 5 game sessions have been conducted for each subject within the same week from Monday to Friday (with one game session each day) during the proposed training paradigm. Within one session, the subject is required to play one set of difficulty level-3 game. From Monday to Thursday, all the subjects play game with the same attention threshold. On the 5th day, the subject is required to play one set of difficulty level-3 game with an enhanced threshold. The quantity of enhancement in threshold is determined based on the performance on the 4th day. However, the amount of threshold enhancement is decided only after getting the player’s consent.
III. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS OF NEUROFEEDBACK TRAINING

The results of the proposed neurofeedback training show the effect of proposed neurofeedback game on the enhancement of threshold on all subjects. It is found that all of the subjects are able to play the game at a higher attention threshold on the final day of experiment, even though the amount of threshold increment is subject-dependent. The threshold value for the Day-5 has been determined based on the entropy values of the respective subjects on Day-4. Most of the subjects are able to offer improved or identical performance on Day-5 with higher threshold compared to that on the previous 4 days with lower threshold. Fig. 2 shows the points achieved by 8 subjects over 5 days. As shown in Fig. 2, the points won on Day-5 with higher threshold are greater than the average performance of the previous 4 days. Additionally, points in subjects 1, 2 and 8 on Day-5 are actually greater than their best performance on those 4 days. The subfigure in Fig. 2 shows the trend line of average points won by all the subjects over 5 days. It can be observed that the performance improves over time and the performance with a higher threshold is almost same with the points won on Day-4 with lower threshold. The improved results over time show the possibility of brain’s attention skill enhancement and ability of subjects which even offers similar or better performance in a higher attention demanding tasks through game based neurofeedback training.

Similar to the points won by the subjects in different days, the variations of obtained attention scores during the game are also analyzed. The maximum point that can be achieved by a player is 30 only as one session of difficulty Level-3 game is played by each subject during this experiment. It is observed that for most of the subjects, the attention score values (estimated from the entropy values of EEG signals) in successful trials improve day by day and all the subjects are able to play the game successfully at a higher threshold. This implies the improved efficacy of all the subjects in self-regulating their EEG signals and maintaining their entropy values at the required level to attain comparatively good points even at a higher threshold on Day-5.

In order to visualize the attention score enhancement across days, the average attention score values over 5 days for all the subjects are plotted in Fig. 3. It can be seen from Fig. 3 that for all subjects, the average score values on Day-5 is higher than the average attention score values over the previous 4 days. The results show that through neurofeedback training, it is possible to make a subject perform as well in a greater attention demanding task. The enhanced entropy measures of the brain signals might be indicating the effect of neurofeedback training on the neurophysiological background of a person’s response to attention based tasks.

![Fig. 3 Variation of attention scores in 8 subjects over 5 days.](image)

Note that the enhanced threshold to be utilized on Day-5 is determined based on the performance on Day-4. On Day-4, the attention score values utilized in the game are noted and if more than 80% of the used attention score values are above a particular level (which is higher than the original threshold), that level is taken as the enhanced threshold for the Day-5. However, it was asked to the subject on Day-5 whether he is able to play the game with the estimated higher threshold. All the subjects are able to enhance the threshold to some extent from the original threshold. The average increment in threshold among all the 8 subjects is 26.12%. It was found that all subjects except one person are able to achieve points which are better than the average points won with lower threshold on the previous 4 days. Among 8 subjects, the average rate of enhancement in game points equals 11.25% after the neurofeedback training.

Results of the cognitive tests are also discussed here for assessing the cognitive level enhancement of the subjects after the neurofeedback training. As described in Section II, 16 subjects performed cognitive tests on Day-1 and Day-5, 8 in the neurofeedback group and 8 in the control group. Neurofeedback group underwent the game based training using the proposed game as discussed above whereas no EEG training was given to the control group.

![Fig. 4. Average cognitive scores in control and neurofeedback groups.](image)

Fig. 4 shows the mean and standard deviation of the cognitive scores obtained for all the subjects in both groups. It can be observed from Fig. 4 that both the control group and neurofeedback group performs better in the final session, but the amount of improvement in the neurofeedback group is better than that of the control group. The slope of the plot in neurofeedback group is higher compared to that in control group which explicitly shows the cognitive skill enhancement achieved through neurofeedback training. Along with the cognitive score index, other results obtained from the cognitive test such as the response time for the subjects, standard deviation of the response time and accuracy of response are also provided here for analysis. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) represent the percentage deviations in classification accuracy (blue bar), response time (red bar) and standard deviation of response time (green bars).
respectively for the control group and neurofeedback group respectively on first and last day of the training. It can be observed from the figures that the classification accuracy enhancement is almost the same in both groups, but the response time and standard deviation in response time are significantly reduced in the neurofeedback group compared to those in control group. This comparison of neurofeedback group results with the control group reveals the fact that the improved performance of neurofeedback group has not only been benefited from practice but also from the proposed neurofeedback training.
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