

# Critical Exponents for Semilinear PDEs with Bounded Potentials

José Alfredo López-Mimbela      Nicolas Privault

January 27, 2006

## Abstract

Using heat kernel estimates obtained in [18] and the Feynman-Kac formula, we investigate finite-time blow-up and stability of semilinear partial differential equations of the form  $\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial t}(x) = \Delta w_t(x) - V(x)w_t(x) + v_t(x)G(w_t(x))$ ,  $w_0(x) \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $v$  and  $G$  are positive measurable functions subject to certain growth conditions, and  $V$  is a positive bounded potential. We recover the results of [19] and [14] by probabilistic arguments and in the quadratic decay case  $V(x) \sim_{+\infty} a(1+|x|^2)^{-1}$ ,  $a > 0$ , we find two critical exponents  $\beta_*(a)$ ,  $\beta^*(a)$  with  $0 < \beta_*(a) \leq \beta^*(a) < 2/d$ , such that any nontrivial positive solution blows up in finite time if  $0 < \beta < \beta_*(a)$ , whereas if  $\beta^*(a) < \beta$ , then nontrivial positive global solutions may exist.

**Key words:** Semilinear partial differential equations, Feynman-Kac representation, critical exponent, finite time blow-up, global solution.

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 60H30, 35K55, 35K57, 35B35.

## 1 Introduction

Consider a semilinear Cauchy problem of the form

$$\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}(x) = Au_t(x) + u_t^{1+\beta}(x), \quad u_0(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\beta > 0$  is constant,  $\varphi \geq 0$  is bounded and measurable, and  $A$  is the generator of a strong Markov process in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . It is well known that, for any non-trivial initial value  $\varphi$ , there exists a number  $T_\varphi \in (0, \infty]$  such that (1.1) has a unique mild solution  $u$  which is bounded on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$  for any  $0 < T < T_\varphi$ , and if  $T_\varphi < \infty$ , then  $\|u_t(\cdot)\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \uparrow T_\varphi$ . When  $T_\varphi = \infty$  the function  $u$  is called a global solution of (1.1), and when  $T_\varphi < \infty$  one says that  $u$  blows up in finite time or that  $u$  is nonglobal.

The blow-up behaviors of semilinear equations of the above type have been intensely studied mainly in the analytic literature; see [1, 3, 7, 12, 13] for surveys. In

the case of the fractional power  $A = -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$  of the Laplacian,  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ , it has been proved that, for  $d \leq \alpha/\beta$ , any nontrivial positive solution of (1.1) is nonglobal, whereas if  $d > \alpha/\beta$ , then the solution of (1.1) is global provided the initial value satisfies  $\varphi \leq \gamma G_r^\alpha$  for some  $r > 0$  and some sufficiently small constant  $\gamma > 0$ , where  $G_r^\alpha$ ,  $r > 0$ , are the transition densities of the stable motion with generator  $-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ , see [2, 4, 10, 11, 15].

Critical exponents for blow-up of the semilinear equation

$$\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}(x) = \Delta u_t(x) - V(x)u_t(x) + u_t^{1+\beta}(x), \quad u_0(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\varphi \geq 0$  and  $V$  is a bounded potential, have been studied in [14, 18, 19], where it is proved that if  $d \geq 3$  and

$$0 \leq V(x) \leq \frac{a}{1 + |x|^b}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (1.3)$$

for some  $a > 0$  and  $b \in [2, \infty)$ , then  $b > 2$  implies finite time blow-up of (1.2) for all  $0 < \beta < 2/d$ , whereas if  $b = 2$ , then there exists  $\beta_*(a) < 2/d$  such that blow-up occurs if  $0 < \beta < \beta_*(a)$ . It is also proved that if

$$V(x) \geq \frac{a}{1 + |x|^b}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (1.4)$$

for some  $a > 0$  and  $0 \leq b < 2$ , then (1.2) admits a global solution for all  $\beta > 0$  and all non-negative initial values satisfying  $\varphi(x) \leq c/(1 + |x|^\sigma)$  for a sufficiently small constant  $c > 0$  and all  $\sigma$  obeying  $\sigma \geq b/\beta$ .

In this note we give conditions for finite time blow-up and for existence of non-trivial global solutions of the semilinear problem

$$\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}(x) = \Delta u_t(x) - V(x)u_t(x) + v_t(x)G(u_t(x)), \quad u_0(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $V, \varphi$  are as above, and  $v, G$  are positive measurable function subject to certain growth conditions. Using heat kernel estimates obtained in [18] and the Feynman-Kac representation of (1.5) we prove that, for dimensions  $d \geq 3$ , condition (1.3) with  $b > 2$  entails finite time blow-up of any nontrivial positive solution of (1.5) provided

$$G(z) \geq \kappa z^{1+\beta}, \quad z > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_t(x) \geq t^\zeta \mathbf{1}_{B_{t^{1/2}}}(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\beta, \zeta$  are positive constants satisfying  $0 < \beta < 2(1 + \zeta)/d$ . (Here and in the sequel,  $B_r(x)$  denotes the open ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $x$ ).

We also prove that Eq. (1.5) admits nontrivial global solutions if (1.4) holds with  $b < 2$  and  $v_t(x)G(z) \leq \kappa t^\zeta z^{1+\beta}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $z \geq 0$ , for some positive constants  $\kappa$ ,  $\zeta$  and  $\beta$ .

As to the critical value  $b = 2$ , we investigate Equation (1.2) with a potential satisfying either (1.3) or (1.4), and with more general nonlinearities. We prove that, in dimensions  $d \geq 3$ , there exist critical exponents  $\beta_*(a)$ ,  $\beta^*(a)$ , both decreasing in  $a > 0$ , given by

$$0 < \beta_*(a) := \frac{2(1+\zeta) - 4ac}{d + 2ac} \leq \beta^*(a) := \frac{2(1+\zeta)}{d + \min(1, a(d+4)^{-2}/64)} < \frac{2(1+\zeta)}{d},$$

where  $c > 0$  is independent of  $a$ , and such that

- a) If  $0 \leq V(x) \leq \frac{a}{1+|x|^2}$ , then (1.2) blows up in finite time provided  $0 < \beta < \beta_*(a)$ .
- b) If  $V(x) \geq \frac{a}{1+|x|^2}$ , then (1.2) admits a global solution for all  $\beta > \beta^*(a)$ .

We remark that the blow-up behavior of (1.2) with potentials of the class we are considering here remains unknown when  $\beta_*(a) \leq \beta \leq \beta^*(a)$ , but notice that in the (unbounded) case  $V(x) = a|x|^{-2}$ , it can be deduced from [1], [8] and [5] that (1.2) admits a unique critical exponent  $\beta(a) < 2/d$ , given by

$$\beta(a) = \frac{2}{1 + d/2 + \sqrt{a + (d-2)^2/4}}.$$

Namely, if  $V(x) = a|x|^{-2}$ , then no global nontrivial solution of (1.2) exists if  $\beta < \beta(a)$ , whereas global solutions exist if  $\beta(a) < \beta$ . However, the approaches of the papers quoted above are specially suitable for the potential  $V(x) = a|x|^{-2}$  and do not apply to our potentials, which are bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in the subcritical case.

In the case of the one-dimensional equation

$$\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u_t(x) - V(x)u_t(x) + \kappa t^\zeta G(u_t(x)), \quad u_0(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $G(z)$  satisfies a suitable growth condition with respect to  $z^{1+\beta}$ , we show that, for every  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$  and  $\zeta \geq 0$ , any nontrivial solution of (1.6) blows up in finite time whenever  $0 < \beta < 1 + \alpha\zeta$  and  $V : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is integrable. The same happens when  $\beta = 1 + \alpha\zeta$  and the  $L^1$ -norm of  $V$  is sufficiently small. We were not able to investigate here the blow-up properties of (1.6) in the general case  $d \geq 1$ . From the perspective of our present methods, such investigation requires to derive sharp heat kernel estimates for the operator  $\Delta_\alpha - V$ , which is a topic of current research.

Let us remark that the heat kernel bounds from [18] play a major role in our arguments. In Section 2 we briefly recall such estimates, and derive some other ones that we will need in the sequel. These estimates are used to obtain semigroup bounds in Section 3. In Section 4 we investigate finite time blow-up of solutions using the Feynman-Kac approach developed in [2] (see also [9]). Section 5 is devoted to proving results on existence of global solutions.

We end this section by introducing some notations and basic facts we shall need.

Let  $\Delta_\alpha = -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$  denote the fractional power of the  $d$ -dimensional Laplacian,  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ . We write  $(S_t^\alpha)_{t \geq 0}$  for the semigroup generated by  $\Delta_\alpha - V$ , i.e.

$$S_t^\alpha \varphi(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) p_t^\alpha(x, y) dx = f_t(y),$$

where  $f_t$  denotes the solution of

$$\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}(x) = \Delta_\alpha f_t(x) - V(x) f_t(x), \quad f_0(x) = \varphi(x),$$

and  $p_t^\alpha(x, y)$ ,  $t > 0$ , are the transition densities of the Markov process in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  having  $\Delta_\alpha - V$  as its generator. Recall that from the Feynman-Kac formula we have

$$p_t^\alpha(x, y) = G_t^\alpha(x - y) E_x \left[ \exp \left( - \int_0^t V(W_s^\alpha) ds \right) \middle| W_t^\alpha = y \right], \quad (1.7)$$

where  $(W_s^\alpha)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is a symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable motion, and  $G_t^\alpha$ ,  $t > 0$  are the corresponding  $\alpha$ -stable transition densities. In case  $\alpha = 2$  we will omit the index  $\alpha$  and write

$$G_t(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0,$$

for the standard Gaussian kernel, and

$$p_t(x, y) = G_t(x - y) E_x \left[ \exp \left( - \int_0^t V(W_s) ds \right) \middle| W_t = y \right], \quad t > 0,$$

where  $(W_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is a Brownian motion.

## 2 Heat kernel bounds of $\Delta - V$

Recall that from Theorem 1.1 in [18] we have:

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $d \geq 3$ ,  $b \geq 0$ ,  $a > 0$ , and assume that*

$$V(x) \geq \frac{a}{1 + |x|^b}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

There exist constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$ , and  $\alpha_1(a) > 0$ , such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t > 0$  there holds

$$p_t(x, y) \leq \begin{cases} c_2 G_t(c_3(x-y)) \exp\left(-c_1 \left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+|x|^{b/2}}\right)^{1-b/2} - c_1 \left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+|y|^{b/2}}\right)^{1-b/2}\right) & \text{if } b < 2, \\ c_2 G_t(c_3(x-y)) \max\left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+|x|}, 1\right)^{-\alpha_1(a)} \max\left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+|y|}, 1\right)^{-\alpha_1(a)} & \text{if } b = 2, \\ c_2 G_t(c_3(x-y)) & \text{if } b > 2. \end{cases}$$

We also recall the following estimates, cf. Theorem 1.2 in [18].

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $d \geq 3$  and assume that, for some  $b \geq 0$  and  $a > 0$ ,*

$$0 \leq V(x) \leq \frac{a}{1+|x|^b}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.1)$$

*There exist constants  $c_4, c_5, c_6 > 0$ , and  $\alpha_2(a) > 0$ , such that for all  $t > 0$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  there holds*

$$p_t(x, y) \geq \begin{cases} c_6 e^{-2c_5 t} G_t(c_4(x-y)) & \text{if } b < 2, \\ c_6 t^{-\alpha_2(a)} G_t(c_4(x-y)) & \text{if } b = 2, \\ c_6 G_t(c_4(x-y)) & \text{if } b > 2. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 2.3** Notice that from Proposition 2.1 of [17] we have

$$\alpha_1(a) = \min(1, a(d+4)^{-2}/64), \quad a > 0.$$

Moreover, from the arguments in [18], pp. 391-392, it follows that  $\alpha_2 = ca$  for some  $c > 0$  independent of  $a$ .

Let  $B_r \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  denote the open ball of radius  $r > 0$ , centered at the origin. Notice that, under (2.1), Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 5.1 of [18] imply the more precise statement: for  $t \geq 1$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$p_t(x, y) \geq \begin{cases} c_6 e^{-2c_5 t} \mathbf{1}_{B_{a_1 t^{1/2}}}(x) \mathbf{1}_{B_{a_1 t^{1/2}}}(y), & \text{if } 0 \leq b < 2, \\ c_6 t^{-\alpha_2(a)-d/2} \mathbf{1}_{B_{a_2 t^{1/2}}}(x) \mathbf{1}_{B_{a_2 t^{1/2}}}(y), & \text{if } b = 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $c_5, c_6, a_1, a_2$  are positive constants and  $\alpha_2(a) = ca$  is a linear function of  $a$ .

We complete the above results with the following estimate, which yields an extension of Theorem 2.2 to the case  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ , though only in dimension  $d = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $d = 1$  and  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ , and assume that  $V(x)$  is integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$p_t^\alpha(x, y) \geq e^{-Ct^{1-1/\alpha}} G_t^\alpha(x - y) \mathbf{1}_{B_{t^{1/\alpha}}}(x) \mathbf{1}_{B_{t^{1/\alpha}}}(y), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant.

*Proof.* Using (1.7) and Jensen's inequality we have

$$p_t^\alpha(x, y) \geq G_t^\alpha(x - y) \exp\left(-E_x \left[ \int_0^t V(W_s^\alpha) ds \mid W_t^\alpha = y \right]\right).$$

From the scaling property of stable densities we obtain, for  $y \in B_{t^{1/\alpha}}$  and  $x \in B_{t^{1/\alpha}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_s^\alpha(z - x) G_{t-s}^\alpha(z - y)}{G_t^\alpha(y - x)} &= \frac{s^{-1/\alpha} (t - s)^{-1/\alpha} G_1^\alpha(s^{-1/\alpha}(z - x)) G_1^\alpha((t - s)^{-1/\alpha}(z - y))}{t^{-1/\alpha} G_1^\alpha(t^{-1/\alpha}(y - x))} \\ &\leq C_\alpha \frac{s^{-1/\alpha} (t - s)^{-1/\alpha}}{t^{-1/\alpha}}, \quad 0 < s < t, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C_\alpha > 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E_x \left[ \int_0^t V(W_s^\alpha) ds \mid W_t^\alpha = y \right] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t V(z) \frac{G_s^\alpha(z - x) G_{t-s}^\alpha(z - y)}{G_t^\alpha(y - x)} dz ds \\ &\leq C_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(z) dz \int_0^t \frac{s^{-1/\alpha} (t - s)^{-1/\alpha}}{t^{-1/\alpha}} ds \\ &= C_\alpha t^{1-1/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(z) dz \int_0^1 s^{-1/\alpha} (1 - s)^{-1/\alpha} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

□

### 3 Semigroup bounds

In this section we establish some bounds for the semigroup  $(S_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  of generator  $\Delta - V$ . The following proposition will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $a_1, a_2, \sigma > 0$  and  $0 \leq b \leq 2$ , and assume that*

$$V(x) \geq \frac{a_1}{1 + |x|^b} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq \frac{a_2}{1 + |x|^\sigma}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*i) If  $b < 2$  then for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  we have*

$$\|S_t \varphi\|_\infty \leq c_\varepsilon t^{-\sigma(1-\varepsilon)/b}, \quad t > 0,$$

for some  $c_\varepsilon > 0$ .

ii) If  $b = 2$  then for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $c_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\|S_t\varphi\|_\infty \leq c_\varepsilon t^{-(1-\varepsilon)\alpha_1(a_1)-d/2}, \quad t > 0,$$

provided  $\sigma > d$ .

*Proof.* i) If  $b < 2$ , applying Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_t\varphi(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x)p_t(x, y)dx \\ &\leq c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \exp\left(-c_1 \left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+|x|^{b/2}}\right)^{1-b/2}\right) G_t(c_3(x-y))dx \\ &\leq c_2 \exp\left(-c_1 \left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+t^{(1-\varepsilon)/2}}\right)^{1-b/2}\right) \int_{\{|x|\leq t^{(1-\varepsilon)/b}\}} \varphi(x)G_t(c_3(x-y))dx \\ &\quad + c_2 \int_{\{|x|>t^{(1-\varepsilon)/b}\}} \varphi(x)G_t(c_3(x-y))dx, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$S_t\varphi(y) \leq a_2 \exp\left(-c_1 \left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+t^{(1-\varepsilon)/2}}\right)^{1-b/2}\right) + \frac{a_2 c_2}{1+t^{(1-\varepsilon)\sigma/b}}.$$

ii) Let now  $b = 2$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . From Theorem 2.1 we know that

$$\begin{aligned} S_t\varphi(y) &\leq c_2 \int \varphi(x) \max\left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+|x|}, 1\right)^{-\alpha_1(a_1)} \max\left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+|y|}, 1\right)^{-\alpha_1(a_1)} G_t(c_3(x-y))dx \\ &\leq c_2 \int_{\{|x|<t^{\varepsilon/2}\}} \varphi(x) \max\left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+|x|}, 1\right)^{-\alpha_1(a_1)} G_t(c_3(x-y))dx \\ &\quad + c_2 \int_{\{|x|>t^{\varepsilon/2}\}} \varphi(x) \max\left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+|x|}, 1\right)^{-\alpha_1(a_1)} G_t(c_3(x-y))dx \\ &\leq c_2 \int_{\{|x|<t^{\varepsilon/2}\}} \varphi(x) \left(\frac{t^{1/2}}{1+t^{\varepsilon/2}}\right)^{-\alpha_1(a_1)} G_t(c_3(x-y))dx + c_2 \int_{\{|x|>t^{\varepsilon/2}\}} \varphi(x)G_t(c_3(x-y))dx \\ &\leq c_2 t^{-(1-\varepsilon)\alpha_1(a_1)/2} \int_{\{|x|<t^{\varepsilon/2}\}} \varphi(x)G_t(c_3(x-y))dx + \frac{c_2}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} t^{-d/2} \int_{\{|x|>t^{\varepsilon/2}\}} \varphi(x)dx \\ &\leq \frac{c_2}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} t^{-(1-\varepsilon)\alpha_1(a_1)/2-d/2} \int_{\{|x|<t^{\varepsilon/2}\}} \varphi(x)dx + c_7 t^{-(\sigma-d)\varepsilon/2-d/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for some  $c_\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$S_t\varphi(y) \leq c_\varepsilon t^{-(1-\varepsilon)\alpha_1(a_1)/2-d/2}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 1,$$

provided  $(1-\varepsilon)\alpha_1(a_1) \leq (\sigma-d)\varepsilon$ . □

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $d \geq 3$ ,  $b \geq 2$ , and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be bounded and measurable. Assume that*

$$0 \leq V(x) \leq \frac{a}{1 + |x|^b}.$$

*Then, for all  $t \geq 1$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have*

$$S_t \varphi(y) \geq c_0 t^{-\alpha_2 - d/2} \mathbf{1}_{B_{t^{1/2}}}(y) \int_{B_{t^{1/2}}} \varphi(x) dx,$$

*where  $\alpha_2 = 0$  if  $b > 2$ , and  $\alpha_2(a) = ca$  for some  $c > 0$  when  $b = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in B_{t^{1/2}}$ . Due to Theorem 2.2 and self-similarity of Gaussian densities we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_t \varphi(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) p_t(x, y) dx \\ &\geq c_2 t^{-\alpha_2(a)} \int_{B_{t^{1/2}}} \varphi(x) G_t(c_4(x - y)) dx \\ &\geq c_2 t^{-\alpha_2(a) - d/2} \int_{B_{t^{1/2}}} \varphi(x) G_1(c_4 t^{-1/2}(x - y)) dx \\ &\geq c_0 t^{-\alpha_2(a) - d/2} \int_{B_{t^{1/2}}} \varphi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

The next lemma, which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 4.1 below, provides lower bounds on certain balls for the distributions of the bridges of the Markov process  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  generated by  $\Delta - V$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *Assume that  $d \geq 3$  and let  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  denote the Markov process with generator  $\Delta - V$ . If for some  $b \geq 2$ ,*

$$0 \leq V(x) \leq \frac{a}{1 + |x|^b}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

*Then there exists  $c_8 > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 2$ ,  $y \in B_{t^{1/2}}$ ,  $x \in B_1$  and  $s \in [1, t/2]$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_s \in B_{s^{1/2}} \mid X_t = y) \geq c_8 t^{-2\alpha_2(a)},$$

*where  $\alpha_2(a) = 0$  when  $b > 2$  and  $\alpha_2(a) = ca$  when  $b = 2$ .*



*Proof.* Since  $V(x) \geq 0$ , the Feynman-Kac formula (1.7) yields  $p_t(x, y) \leq G_t(y - x)$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . An application of Theorem 2.2 and of the Markov property of  $(X_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x(X_s \in B_{s^{1/2}} \mid X_t = y) &\geq \int_{B_{s^{1/2}}} \frac{p_{t-s}(y, z)p_s(z, x)}{p_t(y, x)} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{c_6^2 s^{\alpha_2(a)} (t-s)^{\alpha_2(a)}} \int_{B_{s^{1/2}}} \frac{G_{t-s}(c_4(y-z))G_s(c_4(z-x))}{G_t(c_4(y-x))} dz \\ &\geq c_8 t^{-2\alpha_2(a)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2.2 of [2] to obtain the last inequality.  $\square$

We conclude this section with the following lemma, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

**Lemma 3.4** *Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $V(x) \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Assume that*

$$V(x) \geq \frac{a}{1 + |x|^b}$$

*holds for all  $|x|$  greater than some  $r_0 > 0$ , where  $a > 0$  and  $0 \leq b < 2$ . There exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all bounded measurable  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,*

$$S_t \mathbf{1}_D(x) \leq c_D t^{-(1+\gamma)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.1)$$

*for all sufficiently large  $t$ , where  $c_D$  does not depend on  $x$  and  $t$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1 we have

$$p_t(x, y) \leq c_2 G_t(c_3(x-y)) \exp\left(-c_1 \left(\left(\frac{t}{1+|x|^b}\right)^{c_4} + \left(\frac{t}{1+|y|^b}\right)^{c_4}\right)\right) \quad (3.2)$$

for certain constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$ . Condition (3.1) is obviously fulfilled for any positive  $\gamma$  if  $b = 0$ , hence let us assume that  $0 < b < 2$ . For any bounded measurable  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  we have, provided  $t > \|D\|^2 := \sup_{y \in D} \|y\|^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_t \mathbf{1}_D(x) &\leq c_2 \int_D G_t(c_3(x-y)) e^{-c\left(\frac{t}{1+|y|^b}\right)^{c_4}} dy \\ &\leq \frac{c_2}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \int_D dy \\ &\leq c_D t^{-(1+\gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\gamma = (d-2)/2 > 0$ .  $\square$

## 4 Explosion in subcritical dimensions

Recall that if  $u_t, v_t$  respectively solve

$$\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}(y) = \Delta u_t(y) + \zeta_t(y)u_t(y), \quad \frac{\partial v_t}{\partial t}(y) = \Delta v_t(y) + \xi_t(y)v_t(y),$$

with  $u_0 \geq v_0$  and  $\zeta_t \geq \xi_t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , then  $u_t \geq v_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . In particular, if  $\varphi \geq 0$  is bounded and measurable, and if  $u_t$  is a subsolution of

$$\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial t}(y) = \Delta w_t(y) + \kappa w_t^{1+\beta}(y), \quad w_0 = \varphi, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\kappa, \beta > 0$ , then any solution of

$$\frac{\partial v_t}{\partial t}(y) = \Delta v_t(y) + \kappa u_t^\beta(y)v_t(y), \quad v_0 = \varphi,$$

remains a subsolution of (4.1).

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $d \geq 3$ ,  $b \geq 2$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $a > 0$ , and assume that*

$$0 \leq V(x) \leq \frac{a}{1 + |x|^b}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*Let  $G : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be such that*

$$\frac{G(z)}{z} \geq \kappa z^\beta, \quad z > 0, \quad (4.2)$$

*for some  $\kappa > 0$ . Let  $v : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a measurable function satisfying*

$$v_t(x) \geq t^\zeta \mathbf{1}_{B_{t^{1/2}}}(x) \quad (4.3)$$

*for some  $\zeta > 0$ . Consider the semilinear equation*

$$\frac{\partial u_t(x)}{\partial t} = \Delta u_t(x) - V(x)u_t(x) + v_t(x)G(u_t(x)), \quad u_0(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (4.4)$$

*where  $\varphi \geq 0$  is bounded and measurable.*

a) *If  $b > 2$  and*

$$0 < \beta < \frac{2(1 + \zeta)}{d},$$

*then any nontrivial positive solution of (4.4) blows up in finite time.*

b) If  $b = 2$  and

$$0 < \beta < \beta_*(a) := \frac{1 + \zeta - 2ac}{ac + d/2} < \frac{2(1 + \zeta)}{d},$$

where  $2ac < 1 + \zeta$  and  $c > 0$  is given in Remark 2.3, then any nontrivial positive solution of (4.4) blows up in finite time.

*Proof.* Let  $g_t$  denote the mild solution of

$$\frac{\partial g_t}{\partial t}(x) = \Delta g_t(x) - V(x)g_t(x) + v_t(x) \frac{G(f_t(x))}{f_t(x)} g_t(x), \quad g_0(x) = \varphi(x),$$

where  $f_t = S_t \varphi$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}(x) = \Delta f_t(x) - V(x)f_t(x), \quad f_0(x) = \varphi(x).$$

By the Feynman-Kac formula (1.7) we have

$$g_t(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) p_t(x, y) E_x \left[ \exp \int_0^t v_s(X_s) \frac{G(f_s(X_s))}{f_s(X_s)} ds \middle| X_t = y \right] dx.$$

Let  $\alpha_2(a) = 0$  if  $b > 2$ , and  $\alpha_2(a) = ca$  if  $b = 2$ . Then, for  $y \in B_{t^{1/2}}$ , and for certain positive constants  $K_1, K_2, K_3$ , we have by Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} g_t(y) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) p_t(x, y) E_x \left[ \exp K_1 \int_0^t v_s(X_s) (f_s(X_s))^\beta ds \middle| X_t = y \right] dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) p_t(x, y) E_x \left[ \exp \int_1^{t/2} K_2 s^{\zeta - d\beta/2 - \beta\alpha_2(a)} \mathbf{1}_{B_{s^{1/2}}}(X_s) ds \middle| X_t = y \right] dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) p_t(x, y) \exp \left( K_2 \int_1^{t/2} s^{\zeta - d\beta/2 - \beta\alpha_2(a)} \mathbb{P}_x(X_s \in B_{s^{1/2}} | X_t = y) ds \right) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) p_t(x, y) \exp \left( K_3 t^{-2\alpha_2(a)} \int_1^{t/2} s^{\zeta - d\beta/2 - \beta\alpha_2(a)} ds \right) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) p_t(x, y) dx \exp \left( K_4 t^{\zeta - d\beta/2 - (\beta+2)\alpha_2(a)+1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 3.3 to obtain the fourth inequality. The above argument shows that  $g$  eventually grows to  $+\infty$  uniformly on the unit ball  $B_1$  provided

$$\zeta - d\beta/2 - (\beta + 2)\alpha_2(a) > -1.$$

This condition is satisfied for all  $0 < \beta < 2(1 + \zeta)/d$  if  $b > 2$ , and for all  $0 < \beta < \beta_*(a)$  if  $b = 2$ . Since  $g$  is subsolution of (4.4), the comparison result recalled at the beginning of this section shows that the solution  $u_t$  of (4.4) also grows to  $+\infty$  uniformly on  $B_1$ .

A well-known argument [6] involving Condition (4.2) then shows blow-up of (4.4). For the sake of completeness we include this argument here. Given  $t_0 \geq 1$ , let  $\tilde{u}_t = u_{t+t_0}$  and  $K(t_0) = \inf_{x \in B_1} u_{t_0}(x)$ . The mild solution of (4.4) is given by

$$\tilde{u}_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, y) \tilde{u}_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t-s}(x, y) v_{s+t_0}(y) G(\tilde{u}_s(y)) dy ds.$$

Thus, for all  $t \in (1, 2]$  and  $x \in B_1$  we get from Theorem 2.2:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_t(x) &\geq \int_{B_1} p_t(x, y) \tilde{u}_0(y) dy + \kappa \int_0^t s^\zeta \int_{B_1} p_{t-s}(x, y) \tilde{u}_s^{1+\beta}(y) dy ds \\ &\geq c_6 K(t_0) \int_{B_1} G_t(c_4(x-y)) dy + \kappa c_6 \int_0^t s^\zeta \int_{B_1} G_{t-s}(c_4(x-y)) \tilde{u}_s^{1+\beta}(y) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\xi := c_4^{-d} \min_{x \in B_1} \min_{s \in [1, 2]} \mathbb{P}_x(W_s \in B_{c_4}) > 0$ , we have

$$\min_{x \in B_1} \tilde{u}_t(x) \geq \xi c_6 K(t_0) + \kappa \xi c_6 \int_0^t s^\zeta (\min_{x \in B_1} \tilde{u}_s(x))^{1+\beta} ds.$$

It remains to choose  $t_0 > 0$  sufficiently large so that the blow-up time of the equation

$$v(t) = \xi c_6 K(t_0) + \kappa \xi c_6 \int_0^t s^\zeta v^{1+\beta}(s) ds$$

is smaller than 2. □

The following result gives an explosion criterion which is actually valid for any  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$  and  $d = 1$ ; its proof uses Theorem 2.4 instead of Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.3. Here the potential  $V$  need not be bounded.

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and assume that  $V : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is integrable. Then the solution of*

$$\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u_t(x) - V(x) u_t(x) + \kappa t^\zeta u_t^{1+\beta}(x), \quad u_0(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

*blows up in finite time whenever  $0 < \beta < 1 + \alpha\zeta$ . If  $\beta = 1 + \alpha\zeta$  the same happens provided  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} V(z) dz$  is sufficiently small.*

*Proof.* Let  $g_t$  denote the mild solution of

$$\frac{\partial g_t}{\partial t}(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} g_t(x) - V(x) g_t(x) + \kappa t^\zeta f_t^\beta(x) g_t(x), \quad g_0(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $f_t = P_t \varphi$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f_t(x), \quad f_0(x) = \varphi(x),$$

and  $(P_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is the  $\alpha$ -stable semigroup. The Feynman-Kac formula and Jensen's inequality yield

$$g_t(y) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) G_t^\alpha(x-y) \exp \left( E_x \left[ \int_0^t \left( -V(W_s^\alpha) + s^\zeta (P_s \varphi(W_s^\alpha))^\beta \right) ds \middle| W_t^\alpha = y \right] \right) dx,$$

where, for any  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_x \left[ \int_0^t s^\zeta (P_s \varphi(W_s^\alpha))^\beta ds \middle| W_t^\alpha = y \right] &\geq c_2 E_x \left[ \int_1^t s^{-\beta/\alpha + \zeta} \mathbf{1}_{\{B_{s^{1/\alpha}}\}}(W_s^\alpha) \middle| W_t^\alpha = y \right] \\ &\geq c_2 \int_1^t \mathbb{P}_x(W_s^\alpha \in B_{s^{1/\alpha}} \mid W_t^\alpha = y) s^{-\beta/\alpha + \zeta} ds \\ &\geq c_5 \int_1^t s^{\zeta - \beta/\alpha} ds \\ &= \frac{c_5}{1 + \zeta - \beta/\alpha} (t^{1 - \beta/\alpha + \zeta} - 1); \end{aligned}$$

here we applied Lemma 2.2 of [2]. The last inequality together with (2.3) renders

$$g_t(y) \geq e^{-C_\alpha t^{1-1/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(z) dz + \frac{c_5}{1 - \beta/\alpha + \zeta} (t^{1 + \zeta - \beta/\alpha} - 1)},$$

hence by the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 (comparison result for PDEs and blow-up argument of [6]), finite time explosion occurs if  $\beta < 1 + \alpha\zeta$ , or if  $\beta = 1 + \alpha\zeta$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} V(z) dz$  is sufficiently small.  $\square$

Since  $0 \leq V(x) \leq (1 + |x|^b)^{-1}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $1 < b \leq 2$  imply integrability of  $V(x)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , Theorem 4.2 yields a partial extension of Theorem 4.1 to the case  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ .

## 5 Existence of global solutions

We have the following non-explosion result, which is a generalization of Theorem 4.1 in [9].

**Theorem 5.1** *Consider the semilinear equation*

$$\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial t}(x) = \Delta w_t(x) - V(x)w_t(x) + t^\zeta G(w_t(x)), \quad w_0(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi$  is bounded and measurable, and  $G : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a measurable function satisfying

$$0 \leq \frac{G(z)}{z} \leq \lambda z^\beta, \quad z \in (0, c), \quad (5.2)$$

for some  $\lambda, \beta, c > 0$ . Assume that  $\varphi \geq 0$  is such that

$$\lambda\beta \int_0^\infty r^\zeta \|S_r \varphi\|_\infty^\beta dr < 1$$

and

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq c \left( 1 - \lambda\beta \int_0^\infty r^\zeta \|S_r \varphi\|_\infty^\beta dr \right)^{1/\beta}. \quad (5.3)$$

Then Equation (5.1) admits a global solution  $u_t(x)$  that satisfies

$$0 \leq u_t(x) \leq \frac{S_t \varphi(x)}{\left( 1 - \lambda\beta \int_0^t r^\zeta \|S_r \varphi\|_\infty^\beta dr \right)^{1/\beta}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* This is an adaptation of the proof of Theorem 3 in [16], see also [9]. Recall that the mild solution of (5.1) is given by

$$u_t(x) = S_t \varphi(x) + \int_0^t r^\zeta S_{t-r} G(u_r(x)) dr. \quad (5.4)$$

Setting

$$B(t) = \left( 1 - \lambda\beta \int_0^t r^\zeta \|S_r \varphi\|_\infty^\beta dr \right)^{-1/\beta}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

it follows that  $B(0) = 1$  and

$$\frac{d}{dt} B(t) = \lambda t^\zeta \|S_t \varphi\|_\infty^\beta \left( 1 - \lambda\beta \int_0^t r^\zeta \|S_r \varphi\|_\infty^\beta dr \right)^{-1-1/\beta} = \lambda t^\zeta \|S_t \varphi\|_\infty^\beta B^{1+\beta}(t),$$

hence

$$B(t) = 1 + \lambda \int_0^t r^\zeta \|S_r \varphi\|_\infty^\beta B^{1+\beta}(r) dr.$$

Let  $(t, x) \mapsto v_t(x)$  be a continuous function such that  $v_t(\cdot) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and

$$S_t \varphi(x) \leq v_t(x) \leq B(t) S_t \varphi(x), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (5.5)$$

Let now

$$R(v)(t, x) = S_t \varphi(x) + \int_0^t r^\zeta S_{t-r} G(v_r(x)) dr.$$

Since  $v_r(x) \leq B(r) \|S_r \varphi\|_\infty$ ,  $r \geq 0$ , we have from (5.5), (5.3) and (5.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} R(v)(t, x) &= S_t \varphi(x) + \int_0^t r^\zeta S_{t-r} \left( \frac{G(v_r)}{v_r} v_r \right) (x) dr \\ &\leq S_t \varphi(x) + \lambda \int_0^t r^\zeta (B(r))^\beta \|S_r \varphi\|_\infty^\beta S_{t-r} v_r(x) dr \\ &\leq S_t \varphi(x) + \lambda \int_0^t r^\zeta B^{1+\beta}(r) \|S_r \varphi\|_\infty^\beta S_{t-r} (S_r \varphi(x)) dr \end{aligned}$$

$$= S_t \varphi(x) \left( 1 + \lambda \int_0^t r^\zeta \|S_r \varphi\|_\infty^\beta B^{1+\beta}(r) dr \right),$$

where the last inequality follows from (5.5). Hence

$$S_t \varphi(x) \leq R(v)(t, x) \leq B(t) S_t \varphi(x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let

$$u_t^0(x) = S_t \varphi(x), \quad \text{and} \quad u_t^{n+1}(x) = R(u^n)(t, x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $u_t^0(x) \leq u_t^1(x)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Since  $S_t$  is non-negative, using induction we obtain

$$0 \leq u_t^n(x) \leq u_t^{n+1}(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  yields, for  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$0 \leq u_t(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_t^n(x) \leq B(t) S_t \varphi(x) \leq \frac{S_t \varphi(x)}{\left( 1 - \lambda \beta \int_0^t r^\zeta \|S_r \varphi\|_\infty^\beta dr \right)^{1/\beta}} < \infty.$$

Thus,  $u_t$  is a global solution of (5.4) due to the monotone convergence theorem.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 5.1, an existence result can be obtained under an integrability condition on  $\varphi$ .

**Theorem 5.2** *Let  $G : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $v : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be measurable functions such that  $G(z) \leq \kappa_1 z^{1+\beta}$ ,  $z > 0$ , and  $v_t(x) \leq \kappa_2 t^\zeta$ ,  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $\beta, \zeta, \kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$ . Let  $0 \leq b \leq 2$ ,  $a > 0$ , and assume that*

$$V(x) \geq \frac{a}{1 + |x|^b}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

i) *If  $b < 2$ , then the equation*

$$\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}(x) = \Delta u_t(x) - V(x) u_t(x) + v_t(x) G(u_t(x)), \quad w_0 = \varphi, \quad (5.6)$$

*admits a global solution for all  $\beta > 0$ .*

ii) *If  $b = 2$  and*

$$\beta > \beta^*(a) := \frac{2(1 + \zeta)}{d + \alpha_1(a)}$$

*then (5.6) admits a global solution.*

*Proof.* Clearly, it suffices to consider the semilinear equation

$$\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}(x) = \Delta u_t(x) - V(x)u_t(x) + \kappa t^\zeta u_t^{1+\beta}(x), \quad u_0(x) = \varphi(x), \quad (5.7)$$

for a suitable constant  $\kappa > 0$ . Suppose that for some  $\sigma > 0$ ,

$$0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^\sigma}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*i)* Assume that  $\sigma > b(1 + \zeta)/\beta$ , and let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $(1 - \varepsilon)\beta\sigma/b > 1 + \zeta$ . From Proposition 3.1.i we get

$$\int_1^\infty t^\zeta \|S_t \varphi\|_\infty^\beta dt < 1,$$

provided  $C$  is sufficiently small.

*ii)* If  $b = 2$  and  $\beta > 2(1 + \zeta)/(d + \alpha_1(a))$ , let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $\beta(d/2 + (1 - \varepsilon)\alpha_1(a)) > 1 + \zeta$ . From Proposition 3.1.ii there exists  $\sigma > d$  such that

$$\int_1^\infty t^\zeta \|S_t \varphi\|_\infty^\beta dt < 1$$

provided  $C$  is sufficiently small. □

**Remark 5.3** An alternative proof of Theorem 5.2-i) consists in letting the initial value  $\varphi$  in (5.7) be such that

$$\varphi(x) \leq \tau S_1 \mathbf{1}_D(x),$$

for a sufficiently small constant  $\tau > 0$ , where  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is bounded and Borel measurable. By Lemma 3.4,

$$S_t \varphi(x) \leq \tau S_{t+1} \mathbf{1}_D(x) \leq \tau c_D (1 + t)^{-(1+\gamma)},$$

thus showing that  $\int_1^\infty t^\zeta \|S_t \varphi\|^\beta dt$  can be made arbitrarily close to 0 by choosing  $\tau$  sufficiently small. By Theorem 5.1 we conclude that (5.7) admits positive global solutions.

**Remark 5.4** In the same way as in the above remark we can deal with the semilinear system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}(x) = \Delta u_t(x) - V_1(x)u_t(x) + u_t(x)v_t(x), & u_0(x) = \varphi(x), \\ \frac{\partial v_t}{\partial t}(x) = \Delta v_t(x) - V_2(x)v_t(x) + u_t(x)v_t(x), & v_0(x) = \psi(x), \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$



where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ ,  $\varphi, \psi \geq 0$ , and

$$V_1(x) \sim \frac{a_1}{1 + |x|^{b_1}}, \quad V_2(x) \sim \frac{a_2}{1 + |x|^{b_2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (5.9)$$

with  $a_i > 0$  and  $b_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Theorem 5.5** *If  $\max(b_1, b_2) < 2$ , then (5.8) admits nontrivial positive global solutions.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality let us assume that  $b := b_1 < 2$ . Let  $(S_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$  denote the semigroup with generator  $L = \Delta - V_1$ . By Lemma 3.4, there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$S_t^1 \mathbf{1}_D(x) \leq c_D t^{-(1+\gamma)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for all sufficiently large  $t > 0$ , where  $c_D$  does not depend on  $x$  and  $t$ . The proof is finished by an application of Theorem 1.1 in [10].  $\square$

## References

- [1] C. Bandle and H.A. Levine. Fujita type phenomena for reaction-diffusion equations with convection like terms. *Differential Integral Equations*, 7(5-6):1169–1193, 1994.
- [2] M. Birkner, J.A. López-Mimbela, and A. Wakolbinger. Blow-up of semilinear PDE's at the critical dimension. A probabilistic approach. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 130(8):2431–2442 (electronic), 2002.
- [3] K. Deng and H.A. Levine. The role of critical exponents in blow-up theorems: the sequel. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 243(1):85–126, 2000.
- [4] M. Guedda and M. Kirane. A note on nonexistence of global solutions to a nonlinear integral equation. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 6(4):491–497, 1999.
- [5] A. El Hamidi and G. Laptev. Existence and nonexistence results for higher-order semilinear evolution inequalities with critical potential. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 304:451–463, 2005.
- [6] K. Kobayashi, T. Sirao, and H. Tanaka. On the growing up problem for semilinear heat equations. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 29(3):407–424, 1977.
- [7] H.A. Levine. The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems. *SIAM Rev.*, 32(2):262–288, 1990.
- [8] H.A. Levine and P. Meier. The value of the critical exponent for reaction-diffusion equations in cones. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 109(1):73–80, 1990.
- [9] J.A. López-Mimbela and N. Privault. Blow-up and stability of semilinear PDEs with Gamma generators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 370:181–205, 2005.
- [10] J.A. López-Mimbela and A. Wakolbinger. Length of Galton-Watson trees and blow-up of semilinear systems. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 35(4):802–811, 1998.
- [11] M. Nagasawa and T. Sirao. Probabilistic treatment of the blowing up of solutions for a nonlinear integral equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139:301–310, 1969.

- [12] C.V. Pao. *Nonlinear Parabolic and Elliptic Equations*. Plenum Press, New York, 1992.
- [13] A.A. Samarskii, V.A. Galaktionov, S.P. Kurdyumov, and A.P. Mikhailov. *Blow-up in Quasilinear Parabolic Equations*, volume 19 of *de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1995.
- [14] P. Souplet and Q.S. Zhang. Stability for semilinear parabolic equations with decaying potentials in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and dynamical approach to the existence of ground states. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 19(5):683–703, 2002.
- [15] S. Sugitani. On nonexistence of global solutions for some nonlinear integral equations. *Osaka J. Math.*, 12:45–51, 1975.
- [16] F. B. Weissler. Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a semilinear heat equation. *Israel J. Math.*, 38(1-2):29–40, 1981.
- [17] B. Wong and Q.S. Zhang. Refined gradient bounds, Poisson equations and some applications to open Kähler manifolds. *Asian J. Math.*, 7(3):337–364, 2003.
- [18] Q.S. Zhang. Large time behavior of Schrödinger heat kernels and applications. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 210(2):371–398, 2000.
- [19] Q.S. Zhang. The quantizing effect of potentials on the critical number of reaction-diffusion equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 170(1):188–214, 2001.

Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas  
 Apartado Postal 402  
 36000 Guanajuato, Mexico  
 jalfredo@cimat.mx

Département de Mathématiques  
 Université de La Rochelle  
 Avenue Michel Crépeau  
 17042 La Rochelle Cedex 1, France  
 nprivaul@univ-lr.fr