### Impact of Integrity Attacks on Real-Time Pricing in Smart Grids

**Rui Tan<sup>1</sup>** Varun Badrinath Krishna<sup>1</sup> David K. Y. Yau<sup>1,2</sup> Zbigniew Kalbarczyk<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Advanced Digital Sciences Center, Illinois at Singapore <sup>2</sup>Singapore University of Technology and Design <sup>3</sup>University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

# Cyber Attacks on Smart Grids



- Communication and device vulnerabilities incur threats
  - A worm controls 15,000 emulated meters in 24 hours [Blackhat Demo 2009]
  - 40% of attacks on critical infrastructures target power grids [US DHS 2012]

# **Existing Studies**

- False data injection
  - Bypass bad data detection [CCS'09]
  - Disorder grid operations
    - Generation dispatch [TSG'11]
    - Energy routing [ICCPS'12]
    - Wholesale pricing [TSG'11, ICSS'12]

Measurement data in power systems (bus power meters) vs. Data to/from smart meters at end users

- (Sub-)hourly changing electricity prices
- Reflect market condition and improve efficiency
- Physical impact



Commonwealth Edision Company (ComEd), Illinois <a href="http://www.rrtp.comed.com/live-prices">rrtp.comed.com/live-prices</a>

- (Sub-)hourly changing electricity prices
- Reflect market condition and improve efficiency
- Physical impact





- (Sub-)hourly changing electricity prices
- Reflect market condition and improve efficiency
- Physical impact





- (Sub-)hourly changing electricity prices
- Reflect market condition and improve efficiency
- Physical impact



- (Sub-)hourly changing electricity prices
- Reflect market condition and improve efficiency
- Physical impact



# Problem

- Impact of malicious modifications to price signals
  - Can they destabilize the pricing system?



[Image: www.bluephoenixinc.com]

# Problem

- Impact of malicious modifications to price signals
  - Can they destabilize the pricing system?
- Closed loop
  - Mutually dependent price and demand
  - Difficult performance analysis
  - Harder with attacks





[Image: www.bluephoenixinc.com]

# Outline

- Background & Motivation
- Formulation of Real-Time Pricing
- Impact of Attacks
- Simulations

# **Pricing Model**



- System operator
  - Match supply and demand by periodic pricing
  - Same price for suppliers and consumers

ComEd:

Households pay real-time wholesale price from system operator

Scheduled supply

$$s(\lambda) = \sum_{i} s_{i}(\lambda)$$
  
-  $\lambda$ : price

Total supply (GW)

• Scheduled supply  $s(\lambda) = \sum_{i} s_i(\lambda)$  A supplier (increasing)  $-\lambda$ : price



10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55

Wholesale price (\$/MWh) Australian Energy Market Operator

• Scheduled supply  $s(\lambda) = \sum_{i} s_{i}(\lambda) \quad \text{A supplier}_{(\text{increasing})} \quad \text{Monormalized}$   $-\lambda: \text{ price}$ 



<sup>10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55</sup> 

Wholesale price (\$/MWh) Australian Energy Market Operator

Demand

 $d(\lambda) = \sum_{i} e_{i}(\lambda) + \text{baseline}_{i}$ 





• Find ex-ante price  $\lambda_k$  for k<sup>th</sup> pricing period

$$s(\lambda_k) = d(\lambda_k)$$

• Find ex-ante price  $\lambda_k$  for k<sup>th</sup> pricing period

$$s(\lambda_k) = d(\lambda_k)$$
  
known unknown

• Find ex-ante price  $\lambda_k$  for k<sup>th</sup> pricing period

$$s(\lambda_k) = d(\lambda_k) \implies s(\lambda_k) = \widetilde{d}(\lambda_k)$$
where the second s

• Find ex-ante price  $\lambda_k$  for k<sup>th</sup> pricing period

$$s(\lambda_k) = d(\lambda_k) \implies s(\lambda_k) = \widetilde{d}(\lambda_k)$$
unknown
predicted

Autoregressive prediction

 Unstable closed loop [Roozbehani ]

– Unstable closed loop [Roozbehani 2012]

 $s(\lambda_k) = d(\lambda_{k-1})$ 

• Find ex-ante price  $\lambda_k$  for k<sup>th</sup> pricing period

$$s(\lambda_k) = d(\lambda_k) \implies s(\lambda_k) = \widetilde{d}(\lambda_k)$$
where the second s

Autoregressive prediction
 Unstable closed loop [Roozbehan]

– Unstable closed loop [Roozbehani 2012]

$$s(\lambda_k) = d(\lambda_{k-1}) \implies \lambda_k = s^{-1}(d(\lambda_{k-1}))$$















Oscillating or diverging price

 Overloaded power networks
 Increased operational cost



- Oscillating or diverging price

   Overloaded power networks
   Increased operational cost
- Stable solution is desirable



### **Control-Theoretic Solution**

#### - Set price based on observed scheduling error

scheduling error = scheduled supply – actual demand





# Control-Theoretic Solution

Set price based on observed scheduling error

scheduling error = scheduled supply – actual demand





$$\lambda_k = \lambda_{k-1} + \frac{2 \cdot \eta}{f(\lambda_{k-1})} \cdot (s_{k-1} - d_{k-1})$$



$$\lambda_{k} = \lambda_{k-1} + \frac{2 \cdot \eta}{f(\lambda_{k-1})} \cdot \underbrace{(s_{k-1} - d_{k-1})}_{\text{error}} \underbrace{\text{scheduling}}_{\text{error}}$$



$$gain \in (0,1)$$
  
$$\lambda_{k} = \lambda_{k-1} + \frac{2 \eta}{f(\lambda_{k-1})} \cdot (s_{k-1} - d_{k-1}) \qquad scheduling error$$





# Outline

- Background & Motivation
- Formulation of Real-Time Pricing
- Impact of Attacks
- Simulations

### **Attack Models**



ρ: capability of attackerτ: intensity of attack

Scaling attack (skip)

- Follow certain rules (delay & scale)
  - Lower capability & resource requirements
  - Direct modifications to data packets
  - Launch indirectly

- Follow certain rules (delay & scale)
  - Lower capability & resource requirements
  - Direct modifications to data packets
  - Launch indirectly

true time	Pricing Period	Price (\$/MWh)
	[1pm, 2pm]	10
7654	[2pm, 3pm]	11

- Follow certain rules (delay & scale)
  - Lower capability & resource requirements
  - Direct modifications to data packets
  - Launch indirectly



- Follow certain rules (delay & scale)
  - Lower capability & resource requirements
  - Direct modifications to data packets
  - Launch indirectly



- Follow certain rules (delay & scale)
  - Lower capability & resource requirements
  - Direct modifications to data packets
  - Launch indirectly



true time  $\int \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{$ 

. . .

# **Region of Stability**

• Region of  $\eta$  for stability under attack

$$\lambda_{k} = \lambda_{k-1} + \frac{2\eta}{f(\lambda_{k-1})} \cdot (s_{k-1} - d_{k-1})$$

- Time-varying baseline demand tracking quality

# **Region of Stability**

• Region of  $\eta$  for stability under attack

$$\lambda_{k} = \lambda_{k-1} + \frac{2\eta}{f(\lambda_{k-1})} \cdot (s_{k-1} - d_{k-1})$$

- Time-varying baseline demand tracking quality

- Smaller region of stability
  - Less flexibility in tuning tracking performance subject to stability under attacks

### **Analytical Results**

Closed-form region of stability



shrinks with delay ( $\tau$ ) and ratio of compromised smart meters ( $\rho$ )

### **Analytical Results**

Closed-form region of stability



shrinks with delay ( $\tau$ ) and ratio of compromised smart meters ( $\rho$ )

If less than half of consumers are under delay attack, system is stable.

### **Analytical Results**

Closed-form region of stability



shrinks with delay ( $\tau$ ) and ratio of compromised smart meters ( $\rho$ )

If less than half of consumers are under delay attack, system is stable.

- Break this condition, system can be unstable
- Attacker/operator focus on critical infrastructures

# Outline

- Background & Motivation
- Formulation of Real-Time Pricing
- Impact of Attacks
- Simulations

# Simulation Methodology

- GridLAB-D
  - Physical aspects, emergency events
  - 1405 consumers
  - Scaled real load data as baseline demand



# Simulation Methodology

- GridLAB-D
  - Physical aspects, emergency events
  - 1405 consumers
  - Scaled real load data as baseline demand



### No Attacks



Result price tracks the clearing price

### **Delay Attack**



all smart meters compromised, delay = 4.5 hours

### **Delay Attack**



all smart meters compromised, delay = 4.5 hours

### **Delay Attack**



all smart meters compromised, delay = 4.5 hours

### Weaker Delay Attack



65% smart meters compromised

### Weaker Delay Attack



65% smart meters compromised

### Weaker Delay Attack



65% smart meters compromised

# Volatility

- Volatility = std(scheduling errors)
  - 0: stable & converging
  - Else: increased operation cost



# Volatility

- Volatility = std(scheduling errors)
  - 0: stable & converging
  - Else: increased operation cost



# **Conclusion and Future Work**

- Impact of integrity attacks on real-time pricing
  - Region of stability
  - Delay more than half of smart meters
- Future work
  - Other realistic factors (e.g., a portion of consumers use fixed price)
  - Countermeasures
     (e.g., intrusion detection)