

1 Definition and Basic Properties of Compact Operator

1.1 Let \mathcal{X} be a infinite dimensional Banach space. Show that if $A \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X})$, A does not have bounded inverse.

Proof. Denote the unit ball of \mathcal{X} by B and the unit sphere S . Suppose that $\{x_n\} \subseteq S$, then $\|x_n\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|Ax_n\|$ for all n . Because $\{Ax_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence, we know that x_n has a convergent subsequence too, which implies that S is sequentially compact. This contradicts with Theorem 1.4.28, which states that a normed linear space is finite dimensional iff the unit sphere is sequentially compact. \square

1.2 Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space and $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ satisfy $\|Ax\| \geq a\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where a is a positive constant. Prove that $A \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X})$ iff \mathcal{X} is finite-dimensional.

Proof. It suffices to show that $A \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X})$ iff every bounded set in \mathcal{X} is sequentially compact.

‘If’: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence, thus Ax_n is bounded since A is bounded, thus it has a convergent subsequence and A is therefore compact.

‘Only if’: Let B be a bounded set and $\{x_n\} \subseteq B$. We can find a convergent subsequence in $\{Ax_n\}$, say Ax_{n_k} . Note that $\|Ax_n\| \geq a\|x_n\|$, we know that $\|x_{n_k}\|$ is a Cauchy sequence thus convergent (as \mathcal{X} is complete). \square

1.3 Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be Banach spaces, $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, $K \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $R(A) \subseteq R(K)$. Show that $A \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$.

Proof. Let $K' : \mathcal{X} / \ker K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the canonical map, then K' is also a compact operator, since $B + \ker K$ is the unit ball in $\mathcal{X} / \ker K$, where B is the unit ball in \mathcal{X} . Note that K' is continuous, thus K'^{-1} is a closed map, and $D(K'^{-1}) = R(K) \supseteq R(A)$, hence $K'^{-1}A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} / \ker K$ is a closed map, and its domain is the entire \mathcal{X} , thus from the closed graph theorem that $K'^{-1}A$ is continuous, whence it follows that $A = K(K'^{-1}A)$ is compact. \square

1.4 Let H be a Hilbert space and $A : H \rightarrow H$ is a compact operator. Suppose that $x_n \rightharpoonup x_0$ and $y_n \rightharpoonup y_0$. Show that $(x_n, Ay_n) \rightarrow (x_0, Ay_0)$.

Proof. We have that $|(x_n, Ay_n) - (x_0, Ay_0)| \leq |(x_n, Ay_n - Ay_0)| + |(x_n - x_0, Ay_0)|$. Since $x_n \rightharpoonup x_0$, it is clear that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, say by M , and the second term goes to 0. Since $y_n \rightharpoonup y_0$ and A is compact (thus completely continuous), we have that $Ay_n \rightarrow Ay_0$. Notice that $|(x_n, Ay_n - Ay_0)| \leq \|x_n\| \|Ay_n - Ay_0\| \leq M \|Ay_n - Ay_0\|$, thus the first term also goes to 0. \square

1.5 Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be Banach spaces and $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. Suppose that $R(A)$ is closed and infinite-dimensional. Show that $A \notin \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$.

Proof. Suppose that A is compact. Note that $R(A)$ is a Banach space, and there exist a bounded set B which is not sequentially compact, since $R(A)$ is infinite dimensional. Take $\{y_n\} \subseteq B$ such that it has no convergent subsequence. Consider $\mathcal{X} / \ker A$ and $A' : \mathcal{X} / \ker A \rightarrow R(A)$ is the induced natural map, which is bijective. Let $[x_n] = A'^{-1}(y_n)$, we can choose $x_n \in [x_n]$ such that $Ax_n = y_n$ and $\|x_n\| \leq 2\|[x_n]\| \leq 2\|A'^{-1}\| \|y_n\|$, thus $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. We meet a contradiction. Therefore A can not be compact. \square

1.6 Let $w_n \in \mathbb{K}$ with $w_n \rightarrow 0$. Show that the map defined as

$$T : \{\xi_n\} \mapsto \{w_n \xi_n\}$$

is a compact operator on l^p ($p \geq 1$).

Proof. It is clear that $T \in \mathcal{L}(l^2)$ since $\{w_n\}$ is bounded. Let T_n be a linear operator defined on l^p as

$$T_n : (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \dots) \mapsto (w_1 \xi_1, \dots, w_n \xi_n, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Since $\dim T_n(l^2) < \infty$, T_n has finite-rank. It is also bounded, thus compact. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that $|w_n| < \epsilon$ for all $n > N$. It then follows that $\|T_n x - T x\| \leq \epsilon \|x\|$, thus $\|T_n - T\| \leq \epsilon$, and $\|T_n - T\| \rightarrow 0$. Because $\mathfrak{C}(l^2)$ is closed, T is compact. \square

1.7 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set and f be a bounded measurable function on Ω . Prove that $F : x(t) \mapsto f(t)x(t)$ is a compact operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ iff $f = 0$ almost everywhere on Ω .

Proof. 'If': Trivial.

'Only if': Assume that $mQ > 0$. If $f(x) > 0$ on a set A with $mA > 0$, we can find a compact set $C \subset A$ with $mC > 0$. Then f is bounded below on C , say, $f(x) \geq c > 0$ for all $x \in C$. We can find $\{x_n\} \subseteq L^2(C)$ such that $\|x_n\|_2 = 1$ while $x_n \rightarrow 0$ (for instance, take an orthonormal basis). Since F is completely continuous, we have

$$\|F x_n\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f(t)|^2 |x_n(t)|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|F x_n\|^2 \geq \int_C |f(t)|^2 |x_n(t)|^2 \geq c^2 \int_C |x_n(t)|^2 = c^2,$$

contradiction. \square

1.8 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set and $K \in L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)$. Show that

$$A : u(x) \mapsto \int_{\Omega} K(x, y) u(y) dy, \quad \forall u \in L^2(\Omega)$$

is a compact operator on $L^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. It is clear that $L^2(\Omega)$ is separable, hence there exists an orthonormal basis $\{u_i\} \subset L^2(\Omega)$. Then

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i(y) u_i(x),$$

where

$$K_i(y) = \int_{\Omega} K(x, y) u_i(x).$$

for almost all y . The Parseval identity gives that

$$\int_{\Omega} |K(x, y)|^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |K_i(y)|^2$$

and thus

$$\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |K(x, y)|^2 dx dy = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |K_i(y)|^2 dy. \quad (1)$$

We now define the following operator of rank N

$$A_N u = \int_{\Omega} K_N(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where

$$K_N(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N K_i(y)u_i(x).$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A - A_N)\|^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |K(x, y) - K_N(x, y)|^2 dx dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |K(x, y)|^2 dx dy - 2 \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} K(x, y) \sum_{i=1}^N K_i(y)u_i(x) dx dy + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |K_i(y)|^2 dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |K(x, y)|^2 dx dy - \int_{\Omega} |K_i(y)|^2 dy \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $A_N \rightarrow A$ and A is therefore compact. \square

1.9 Let H be a Hilbert space, $A \in \mathfrak{C}(H)$, $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal set in H . Show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Ae_n, e_n) = 0$.

Proof. It can be proved that $e_n \rightarrow 0$ (See proof to Exercise 2.5.19), thus the conclusion follows from Exercise 4.1.4. \square

1.10 Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space, $A \in \mathfrak{C}(H)$, \mathcal{X}_0 is a closed subspace of \mathcal{X} such that $A(\mathcal{X}_0) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_0$. Prove that the map $T : [x] \mapsto [Ax]$ is a compact operator on $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{X}_0$.

Proof. It can be proved that $B + \ker A$ is the unit ball in $\mathcal{X}/\ker A$, where B is the unit ball in \mathcal{X} . Let $\{[x_n]\}$ be a bounded sequence, we can find $\{x_n\}$ such that $\|x_n\| \leq 2\|[x_n]\|$, thus $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, and $\{Ax_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence, thus $\{T[x_n]\} = \{[Ax_n]\}$ has also a convergent subsequence. T is compact. \square

1.11 Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ be Banach spaces, $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$, if the embedding map from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} is compact and from \mathcal{Y} to \mathcal{Z} continuous. Prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $c(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \epsilon \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}} + c(\epsilon) \|x\|_{\mathcal{Z}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Proof. Prove by contradiction. Suppose that there exists ϵ_0 , for all n there exists $x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\|x_n\|_{\mathcal{Y}} > \epsilon_0 \|x_n\|_{\mathcal{X}} + n \|x_n\|_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Let $y_n = x_n / \|x_n\|$, then it holds that $\|y_n\|_{\mathcal{Y}} > \epsilon_0 + n \|y_n\|_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Since the embedding map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is compact and $\|y_n\| = 1$ for all n , we know that $\|y_n\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is bounded thus $\|y_n\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow 0$. Also we know that $\{y_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence in \mathcal{Y} , say $y_{n_k} \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{Y} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then $z_{n_k} \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{Z} as the embedding map from \mathcal{Y} to \mathcal{Z} is continuous, and therefore y must be 0. But $\|y_{n_k}\| \geq \epsilon$, we reach a contradiction. \square

2 Riesz-Fredholm Theory

2.1 Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space and $M \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is a closed linear subspace with $\text{codim } M = n$. Show that there exists linearly independent set $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$ such that

$$M = \bigcap_{k=1}^n N(\phi_k).$$

Proof. Let $\{e_i + M\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be a basis of \mathcal{X}/M , $D = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, $D_i = \overline{\text{span}\{D \setminus \{e_i\}, M\}}$. Then we have that $e_i \notin D_i$ and we can find a bounded linear functional ϕ_i such that $\phi_i(D_i) = 0$ and $\phi_i(e_i) = 1$. It is easy to verify that $\{\phi_i\}$ is linearly independent, and $M = \bigcap_{i=1}^n N(\phi_i)$. \square

2.2 Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be Banach space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is surjective. Define $\tilde{T} : \mathcal{X}/N(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ as

$$\tilde{T}[x] = Tx, \quad \forall x \in [x], \forall [x] \in \mathcal{X}/N(T);$$

Show that \tilde{T} is a linear homeomorphism.

Proof. It is clear that \tilde{T} is well-defined and linear. For each $[x]$ we can find $x \in [x]$ such that $\|x\| \leq 2\|[x]\|$. Thus $\|\tilde{T}[x]\| = \|Tx\| \leq \|T\| \|x\| \leq 2\|T\| \|[x]\|$ and \tilde{T} is continuous. Also \tilde{T} is a bijection, hence it is a homeomorphism. \square

2.3 Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space, M, N_1, N_2 be closed linear subspaces of \mathcal{X} . Suppose that

$$M \oplus N_1 = \mathcal{X} = M \oplus N_2,$$

show that N_1 is homeomorphic to N_2 .

Proof. It suffices to show that N_1 and N_2 are both homeomorphic to \mathcal{X}/M . Define $F : N_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}/M$ as $F(x) = x + M$, and it is easy to verify that F is well-defined. Since $\mathcal{X} = M \oplus N_1$, F is bijective. Besides, it holds that $\|F(x)\| = \|x + M\| = \inf_{m \in M} \|x + m\| \leq \|x\|$, whence we know that F is continuous thus a homeomorphism. \square

2.4 Let $A \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X})$, $T = I - A$, show that

- (1) $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}/N(T), \exists x_0 \in [x]$, such that $\|x_0\| = \|[x]\|$;
- (2) Suppose that $y \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $Tx = y$ has at least one solution, show that one of the solutions has the minimum norm.

Proof. (1) Since $\|[x]\| = \inf_{z \in N(T)} \|x + z\|$, we can choose $z_n \in N(T)$ for each n such that $\|x + z_n\| < \|[x]\| + \frac{1}{n}$, so $\{x + z_n\}$ is bounded. Since A is compact, we have that $\{Ax + Az_n\} = \{Ax + z_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence, say $Ax + z_{n_k} \rightarrow z$, thus $z_{n_k} \rightarrow z - Ax$. It follows that $\|x + z_{n_k}\| \rightarrow \|Tx + z\|$, combining with $\|x + z_n\| \rightarrow \|[x]\|$ we have that $\|Tx + z\| = \|[x]\|$. We verify that $Tx + z \in [x]$, or, $Tx + z - x \in N(T)$: $T(Tx + z - x) = T(z - Ax) = \lim T(z_{n_k}) = 0$.

- (2) Suppose that x' is a solution to $Tx = y$, then the set of all the solutions is exactly $[x']$. From (1) we know that there exists $x_0 \in [x']$, thus a solution to $Tx = y$, with the minimum norm $\|[x']\|$. \square

2.5 Let $A \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X})$ and $T = I - A$. Show that

- (1) $N(T^k)$ is finite dimensional; and
- (2) $R(T^k)$ is closed

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. $T^k = (I - A)^k = I - A_k$, where A_k is compact, as a result of Proposition 4.1.2(2) and (6). \square

2.6 Let M be a closed linear subspace on Banach space B . Call a bounded linear operator $P : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow M$ with $P^2 = P$ a projection operator on M . Show that

- (1) If M is finite dimensional then a projection operator on M do exist;
- (2) If P is a projection operator on M then $I - P$ is a projection operator on $R(I - P)$ from \mathcal{X} ;
- (3) If P is a projection operator on M then $\mathcal{X} = M \oplus N$, where $N = R(I - P)$;

(4) If $A \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X})$ and $T = I - A$, then it holds that

$$N(T) \oplus \mathcal{X}/N(T) = \mathcal{X} = R(T) \oplus \mathcal{X}/R(T)$$

in the sense of isomorphism both algebraical and topological.

Proof. (1) Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a normal basis of M . From Hahn-Banach Theorem, there exists continuous linear functionals f_1, \dots, f_n such that $f_k(e_j) = \delta_{kj}$. Then define $Px = \sum f_k(x)e_k$, and it is easy to verify that P is bounded and satisfies that $P^2 = P$.

(2) The conclusion follows from that $(I - P)^2 = I - 2P + P^2 = I - 2P + P = I - P$.

(3) It is clear that $\mathcal{X} = M + R(I - P)$ and we shall show that $M \cap R(I - P) = 0$. Let $x \in M \cap R(I - P)$, then there exists y such that $x = (I - P)y$, thus $Px = P(I - P)y = (P - P^2)y = 0$. Since $x \in M$, it holds that $x = Px$, whence we obtain that $x = 0$.

(4) Since $N(T)$ is finite dimensional, from (1) there exists a projection operator P on $N(T)$, and from (3) it suffices to show that $\mathcal{X}/N(T)$ is isomorphic to $R(I - P)$.

Let $F : \mathcal{X}/N(T) \rightarrow R(I - P)$ be defined as $F([x]) = (I - P)x$. It is clear that F is well-defined, bijective and linear (algebraically isomorphic). For all $[x] \in \mathcal{X}/N(T)$ there exists $x' \in [x]$ such that $\|x'\| \leq 2\|[x]\|$, so $\|F([x])\| = \|(I - P)x'\| \leq \|I - P\| \|x'\| \leq 2\|I - P\| \|[x]\|$, and thus F is continuous (topologically homeomorphic).

Therefore we obtain that $N(T) \oplus \mathcal{X}/N(T) = \mathcal{X}$.

Since $\text{codim } R(T) = \dim N(T)$, we know that $\mathcal{X}/R(T)$ and $N(T)$ are isomorphic both algebraically and topologically. And it is obvious that $R(T)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}/N(T)$, since the map $y = Tx \mapsto [x]$ is an isomorphism. Thus we also have that $\mathcal{X}/R(T) \oplus R(T) = \mathcal{X}$. \square

3 Spectrum Theory of Compact Operators (Riesz-Schauder Theory)

(\mathcal{X} denotes Banach space in this section)

3.1 Given sequence of numbers $\{a_n\}$ and define operator A on ℓ^2 as

$$A : (x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (a_1x_1, a_2x_2, \dots).$$

(1) Show that $A \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2)$ iff $\{a_n\}$ is bounded;

(2) If $A \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2)$ find $\sigma(A)$ and the types of the spectral points.

Proof. (1) 'If': Suppose that $|a_n| \leq M$, then $\|Ax\| \leq M\|x\|$.

'Only if': If $\{a_n\}$ is not bounded, then there exists $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that $|a_{n_k}| > k$. For each m , Take $x_m = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$ where $\xi_{n_m} = 1$ and $\xi_j = 0$ for all of the rest indices j . It is clear that $x \in \ell^2$ and $\|x_m\| = 1$. We compute $\|Ax_m\| > m^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts with the continuity of A . Therefore $\{a_n\}$ is bounded.

(2) Since $A \in \ell^2$, we know that $\{a_n\}$ is bounded, say, by M .

If $\lambda = a_i$ for some i , then $(\lambda I - A)x = 0$ has nonzero solutions and $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$. Now assume that $\lambda \neq a_i$ for all i , then $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ exists, sending (x_1, x_2, \dots) to $(\frac{x_1}{\lambda - a_1}, \frac{x_2}{\lambda - a_2}, \dots)$.

If λ is not a limit point of $\{a_i\}$, then $\frac{1}{|\lambda - a_i|}$ is bounded away from 0, so $(\frac{x_1}{\lambda - a_1}, \frac{x_2}{\lambda - a_2}, \dots) \in \ell^2$ whenever $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \ell^2$ and $R(\lambda I - A) = \ell^2$, thus $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$.

Now let $\lambda \neq a_i$ be a limit point of $\{a_i\}$, suppose that $|a_{n_k} - \lambda| < \frac{1}{k}$, where $\{a_{n_k}\}$ are pairwise distinct. Consider $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ with $x_{n_k} = (\lambda - a_{n_k})/\sqrt{k}$ and $x_i = 0$ for $i \neq n_k$, then $\sum x_i^2 = \sum (\lambda - a_{n_k})^2/k < \sum 1/k^3 < \infty$. However, $\sum x_i^2/(\lambda - a_i)^2 = \sum 1/k = \infty$, hence $x \notin R(\lambda I - A)$. Note that any x with finitely many non-zero components is in $R(\lambda I - A)$, we know that $R(\lambda I - A)$ is dense in ℓ^2 . Therefore, $\lambda \in \sigma_c(A)$.

We conclude that $\sigma(A) = \overline{\{a_i\}}$ with $\sigma_p(A) = \{a_i\}$ and $\sigma_c(A)$ the rest spectral points. \square

3.2 In $C[0, 1]$ consider the operator

$$T : x(t) \mapsto \int_0^t x(s) ds, \quad \forall x(t) \in C[0, 1].$$

- (1) Show that T is a compact operator;
- (2) Find $\sigma(T)$ and a nontrivial closed invariant subspace of T .

Proof. (1) It suffices to show that $T(B_1)$ is sequentially compact, or, uniformly bounded and equi-continuous.

First we have that $\|Tx\| \leq \left| \int_0^1 x(s) ds \right| \leq \|x\|$ implying that $T(B_1)$ is uniformly bounded. Besides it holds that $|(Tx)(s') - (Tx)(s'')| = \left| \int_{s'}^{s''} x(s) ds \right| \leq \|x\| |s'' - s'|$ implying that $T(B_1)$ is equi-continuous.

- (2) First of all, $\|T\| = 1$ implies that $\sigma(T)$ is contained in the closed disc. Since $C[0, 1]$ is infinite-dimensional, we know that $0 \in \sigma(T)$. Any other spectral point must be eigenvalue, that is, if $\lambda \neq 0$ belongs to $\sigma(T)$, then $Tx = \lambda x$ has non-zero solution. But $Tx = \lambda x$ has only zero solution, hence $\sigma(T) = \{0\}$. An invariant space of T is $C^1[0, 1]$. \square

3.3 Let $A \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{X})$. Prove that $x - Ax = 0$ has only zero solution iff $x - Ax = y$ has solution for all $y \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. 'Only if': This is Theorem 4.2.6.

'If': Let $T = I - A$, then $\dim N(T) = \text{codim } R(T) = 0$, thus $N(T) = \{0\}$. \square

3.4 Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ and there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathcal{X} = N(T^m) \oplus R(T^m).$$

Show that $p(T) = q(T) \leq m$.

Proof. Let $x \in N(T^{m+1})$. We have that $T^m x \in R(T^m) \cap N(T^m)$, yielding that $T^m x = 0$ and $x \in N(T^m)$. So $N(T^{m+1}) \subseteq N(T^m)$, thus $p(T) \leq m$.

Now we show that $q(T) = p(T)$. First we show that $q(T) \geq p(T)$. For simplicity, we use notations p and q instead of $p(T)$ and $q(T)$ respectively.

- (1) Proof of $p \leq q$. We have that $T(R(T^q)) = R(T^{q+1}) = R(T^q)$, thus for $y \in R(T^q)$, we have $x \in R(T^q)$ such that $Tx = y$.

We claim that if $Tx = 0$ for some $x \in R(T^q)$ then x must be zero. If not, there exists $x_1 \in R(T^q) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Tx_1 = 0$, then there exists $x_2 \neq 0$ such that $Tx_2 = x_1$. Continuing this process, we obtain $\{x_n\}$ such that $0 \neq x_1 = Tx_2 = \dots = T^{n-1}x_n$, but $0 = Tx_1 = T^n x_n$. Thus $x_n \notin N(T^{n-1})$ and $x_n \in N(T^n)$ for all n , which contradicts with $p < \infty$.

Now we show that $N(T^{q+1}) = N(T^q)$, which would imply that $p \leq q$. It suffices to show that $N(T^{q+1}) \subseteq N(T^q)$. Let $x \in N(T^{q+1})$. Since $T^q x \in R(T^q)$ and $T(T^q x) = 0$, we must have that $T^q x = 0$ and $x \in N(T^q)$.

- (2) Proof of $p \geq q$. This is obviously true for $q = 0$. Assume that $q > 0$. It suffices to show that $N(T^{q-1}) \subsetneq N(T^q)$. Let $y \in R(T^{q-1}) \setminus R(T^q)$. Then there exists x such that $y = T^{q-1}x$, and there also exists z such that $Ty = T^q z$ since $Ty \in R(T^q) = R(T^{q+1})$. Thus $T^{q-1}(x - Tz) = y - T^q z \neq 0$ because $y \notin R(T^q)$. So $x - Tz$ does not belong to $N(T^{q-1})$. And it is obvious that it belongs to $N(T^q)$, which establishes that $N(T^{q-1}) \subsetneq N(T^q)$. \square

3.5 Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ and $AB = BA$. Prove that

- (1) $R(A)$ and $N(A)$ are invariant subspaces of B ;

(2) $R(B^n)$ and $N(B^n)$ are invariant subspaces of B for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (1) Let $y \in R(A)$, then $y = Ax$ for some x . It holds that $By = BAx = A(Bx) \in R(A)$, thus $R(A)$ is an invariant subspace of B .

Let $y \in N(A)$, then $A(By) = B(Ay) = 0$, indicating that $By \in N(A)$. Hence $N(A)$ is an invariant subspace of B .

(2) The conclusion follows from $B(B^n x) = B^n(Bx) \in R(B^n)$ and $B^n(By) = B(B^n y) = 0$ for $y \in N(B^n)$. \square

3.6 Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ and M is a finite-dimensional invariant subspace of A . Show that

- (1) The action of A on M can be described by a matrix;
- (2) At least one eigenvector of A is in M .

Proof. Trivial, as $A|_M$ can be viewed as a linear transformation over M (which is finite dimensional). \square

3.7 Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$ satisfy $\langle f, x_0 \rangle = 1$. Let $A = x_0 \otimes f$ and $T = I - A$. Find $p(T)$.

Proof. We have that $Ax = \langle f, x \rangle x_0$ and $A^2 = A$. Thus $N(T) = N(T^2)$. If $\dim \mathcal{X} > 1$ then $N(T) \neq \mathcal{X}$ so $p(T) = 1$; otherwise $N(T) = \mathcal{X}$, so $p(T) = 0$. \square

4 Hilbert-Schmidt Theorem

(H denotes complex Hilbert space in this section)

4.1 Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, show that $A + A^*$, AA^* and A^*A are all symmetric and $\|AA^*\| = \|A^*A\| = \|A\|^2$.

Proof. It is trivial to prove that $A + A^*$, AA^* and A^*A are symmetric. With respect to norm, we have $\|AA^*\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |(AA^*x, x)| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |(A^*x, A^*x)| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|A^*x\|^2 = \|A^*\|^2 = \|A\|^2$. Similarly we have $\|A^*A\| = \|A\|^2$. \square

4.2 Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ satisfying $(Ax, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ and $(Ax, x) = 0$ iff $x = 0$. Show that

$$\|Ax\|^2 \leq \|A\|(Ax, x), \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Proof. It is not hard to show that the following generalized Cauchy's Inequality holds.

$$|(Au, v)|^2 \leq (Au, u)(Av, v).$$

Let $u = x$ and $v = Ax$, we have $|(Au, Au)|^2 \leq (Ax, x)(A^2x, Ax) \leq (Ax, x) \cdot \|A\| \cdot \|Ax\|^2$, which simplifies to our desired result. \square

4.3 Let A be a symmetric compact operator on H , and

$$m(A) = \inf_{\|x\|=1} (Ax, x), \quad M(A) = \sup_{\|x\|=1} (Ax, x)$$

Prove that

- (1) If $m(A) \neq 0$ then $m(A) \in \sigma_p(A)$;
- (2) If $M(A) \neq 0$ then $M(A) \in \sigma_p(A)$;

Proof. Consider $A_\alpha = A + \alpha I$, then the spectrum is translated by α , so $m(A_\alpha) = m(A) + \alpha$ and $M(A_\alpha) = M(A) + \alpha$. For $\alpha < 0$ small enough, $m(A_\alpha) < M(A_\alpha) < 0$. Suppose that $(A_\alpha x_n, x_n) \rightarrow m(A_\alpha)$ with $\|x_n\| = 1$. From Proposition 4.4.5(5), it holds that $\|A_\alpha\| = -m(A_\alpha)$. Note that

$$\|A_\alpha x_n - m(A_\alpha)x_n\|^2 = \|A_\alpha\|^2 - 2m(A_\alpha)(A_\alpha x_n, x_n) + m(A_\alpha)^2 \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $m(A_\alpha)$ is in the spectrum of A_α . Hence $m(A)$ is in $\sigma(A)$, and A is compact, thus if $m(A) \neq 0$ it must be in $\sigma_p(A)$.

Similarly consider $A + \alpha I$ for $\alpha > 0$ enough, it yields that $M(A) \in \sigma_p(A)$ if $M(A) \neq 0$. □

4.4 Let A be a symmetric compact operator, show that

- (1) If A is non-zero then it has at least one non-zero eigenvalue;
- (2) If M is a non-trivial invariant subspace then M contains some eigenvector of A .

Proof. (1) It follows directly from Theorem 4.4.6.

- (2) Assume M is closed, then $A|_M$ is compact and symmetric. Since M is nontrivial, $A|_M$ is non-zero, and therefore has an eigenvalue on M . □

4.5 Show that $P \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is an orthogonal projector if and only if

- (1) P is symmetric, i.e., $P = P^*$;
- (2) P is idempotent, i.e., $P^2 = P$.

Proof. 'Only if': Trivial.

'If': Let $M = \{x : Px = x\}$, then M is a linear subspace of H . Since P is continuous, it follows that M is closed. If $Px = y$ then $P^2x = Px = y$, which means that M is the range of P . Now notice that $(Py, x - Px) = (y, P^*x - P^*Px) = (y, Px - P^2x) = 0$, so $R(P) \perp R(I - P)$. Also $x = Px + (x - Px)$, we see that Px is an orthogonal projector onto M . □

4.6 Show that $P \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is an orthogonal projector if and only if $(Px, x) = \|Px\|^2$ for all $x \in H$.

Proof. 'Only if': Suppose that P is a projector, then $x = y + z$ with $y \in R(P)$ and $z \in R(P)^\perp$. Then $(Px, x) = (y, y + z) = (y, y) + (y, z) = (y, y) = \|Px\|^2$.

'If': From Proposition 4.4.5 (1), we know that P is symmetric, hence $P^2 - P$ is symmetric. Notice that $(P^2x - Px, x) = (P^2x, x) - (Px, x) = (Px, Px) - (Px, x) = 0$, it follows from Proposition 4.4.5 (5) that $P^2 - P = 0$. Hence P is an orthogonal projector by the previous problem. □

4.7 Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, it is called positive operator if $(Ax, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. Show that

- (1) All positive operators are symmetric;
- (2) The eigenvalues of a positive operators are non-negative.

Proof. (1) Proposition 4.4.5(1).

- (2) From Proposition 4.4.5(2), we need only to consider $\lambda I - A$ for real λ . Notice that for negative λ it holds that

$$\|(\lambda I - A)x\|^2 = ((\lambda I - A)x, (\lambda I - A)x) = \lambda^2\|x\|^2 - 2\lambda(Ax, x) + \|Ax\|^2 \geq \lambda^2\|x\|^2$$

and thus $\lambda I - A$ is injective for negative λ . Hence $\sigma(A) \subset [0, \infty)$. □

4.8 Let L and M be two closed linear subspace of H . Show that $L \subseteq M$ iff $P_M - P_L$ is positive.

Proof. 'Only if': Suppose that $x = x_L + y_L = x_M + y_M$ where $x_A \in A$ and $y_A \in A^\perp$. Decompose x_M as $x_M = u + v$, where $u \in L$ and $v \in L^\perp$. Notice that $y_M \perp L$ hence $x = u + (v + y_M)$ is an orthogonal decomposition along L , and from the uniqueness of decomposition it must hold that $x_L = u$. Since $L \subseteq M$, it holds that $x_M - x_L \in M$ and thus $(P_M x - P_L x, x) = (x_M - x_L, x_M + y_M) = (x_M - x_L, x_M) = (v, u + v) = (v, v) \geq 0$.

'If': Suppose that $x \in L$ then $P_L x = x$. Suppose that $x = x_M + y_M$ where $x_M \in M$ and $y_M \in M^\perp$. Then $0 \leq (P_M x - P_L x, x) = (P_M x - x, x) = (x_M - x, x) = -(y_M, x_M + y_M) = -(y_M + y_M) \leq 0$, hence $y_M = 0$ and $x = x_M$, hence $x \in M$, and $L \subseteq M$. \square

4.9 Let (a_{ij}) satisfy $\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}|^2 < \infty$. Define in ℓ^2

$$A : x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \mapsto y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$$

where $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} x_j$. Show that

- (1) A is compact;
- (2) If $a_{ij} = \overline{a_{ji}}$ then A is a symmetric compact operator.

Proof. (1) Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality it is easy to verify that $A \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2)$. Define $A_N \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2)$ as

$$A : x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \mapsto y = \{y_1, \dots, y_N, 0, \dots\}$$

then A_N is a finite-rank operator and thus is compact. And it follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $\|A_N - A\| \rightarrow 0$, hence A is compact.

- (2) Suppose that $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots)$. It is not hard to show that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} x_j \overline{z_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} x_j \overline{z_i} \right|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Also,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} x_i = \sum_{i=j}^N \sum_{j=i}^N \overline{a_{ji} z_i} x_j \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_j \overline{a_{ij} z_i},$$

which can be proved in the same way. We have established $\langle Ax, z \rangle = \langle x, Az \rangle$, hence A is symmetric. \square

4.10 Let A be a symmetric operator on H and there exists an orthonormal basis in which every vector is an eigenvector of A . Suppose that

- (1) $\dim N(\lambda I - A) < \infty$ ($\forall \lambda \in \sigma_p(A) \setminus \{0\}$)
- (2) For any $\epsilon > 0$, the set $\sigma_p(A) \setminus [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ is finite.

Show that A is a compact operator on H .

Proof. From the two assumptions we know that A has countably many eigenvalues (including the multiplicity). List them in the decreasing order of absolute value (with multiplicity) as $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots$. Since A is symmetric, we know that the basis contains a basis of $N(\lambda I - A)$ for all eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$. Then $Ax = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i (x, e_i) e_i$ (no need to consider the eigenvectors associated with eigenvalue 0), where e_i is an eigenvector associated with λ_i . Define $A_N = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i (x, e_i) e_i$ then A_N is of finite rank and thus compact. By the Remark 1 of Theorem 4.4.7, $\|A - A_N\| \rightarrow 0$ and thus A is compact. \square